REMARKS

A. Summary of the Claims

Applicant has withdrawn claims 43-49 in response the restriction requirement. Claims 1-

29 and 32-42 are currently presented for examination.

B. Summary of the Restriction Requirement

In the Restriction Requirement mailed February 07, 2009, the Examiner required

restriction of the claims into one of two groups: (i) Group I corresponding to claims 1-29 and 32-

42 drawn to a system and method for managing clients with multiple SIP proxy servers, and (ii)

Group II corresponding to claims 43-49 drawn to a SIP proxy server.

C. Response to the Restriction Requirement

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicants elect Group I corresponding to

claims 1-29 and 32-42 without prejudice. Applicants reserve the right to (i) pursue the subject

matter of the non-elected groups in a divisional application, and (ii) reinstate any currently

withdrawn claims directed to species for which a generic claim is allowed, or claims directed to

combinations which contain the elements of any allowed subcombination.

D. Conclusion

Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance and notice to that

 $\hbox{effect is respectfully requested. If the $\sf Examiner believes that further dialog would advance the}\\$ 

application to issuance, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at 312-913-0001.

By:

Respectfully submitted.

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Date: March 13, 2009

/Robert J. Irvine III/ Robert J. Irvine III

Registration No. 41,865